Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document140-5 Filed09/15/10 Page1 of 17

MORRISON FOERSTER

425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94105-2482

TELEPHONE: 415.268.7000 FACSIMILE: 415.268.7522

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO,
LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO,
SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.
NORTHERN VIRGINIA, DENVER,
SACRAMENTO, WALNIT CREEK
TOKYO, LONDON, BRUSSELS,
BEHING, SHANGHAI, HONG KONG

May 10, 2010

Writer's Direct Contact 415.268.6411 GErspamer@mofo.com

Via Email & U.S. Mail

Caroline Lewis-Wolverton, Esq. United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch P.O. Box 883 Washington, District of Columbia 20044

Re: Vietnam Veterans of America, et al. v. Central Intelligence Agency, et al., Case No.

C 09-00037 CW

Dear Counsel:

I write to follow up on your letter to Cathleen Stadecker dated February 26, 2010, specifically regarding Plaintiffs' intent to serve additional interrogatories.

On April 19, 2010, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Compel Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs' reduced set of interrogatories. As stated in that motion, and in our case management conference discussions that preceded it, Plaintiffs intend to serve additional interrogatories beyond the reduced set. As explained in the December 3, 2009 Updated Initial Joint Case Management Statement and reiterated again in letters dated January 11, 2010 and February 19, 2010, the nature and complexity of this case renders inadequate the default limit of 25 interrogatories. The sheer number of parties involved and the countless experimental projects conducted at Edgewood Arsenal and elsewhere justify an expansion beyond the default limit. Plaintiffs therefore once again invite Defendants to meet and confer to determine a reasonable expansion of the number of interrogatories.

With respect to this issue, your February 26, 2010 letter indicated that you were unwilling to meet and confer until receiving a description of Plaintiffs' potential additional interrogatories and how those interrogatories relate to the pending claims. In response to that concern, I enclose Plaintiffs' *Draft* Third Set of Interrogatories, which illustrates the range of topics that Plaintiffs' additional interrogatories may cover. These topics relate to the pending claims because they concern the nature of the experimental projects, the identity of putative class members, and Defendants' performance of (or failure to perform) their various duties.

Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document140-5 Filed09/15/10 Page2 of 17 MORRISON FOERSTER

Caroline Lewis-Wolverton, Esq. May 10, 2010 Page Two

You will note that many of the interrogatories in this Draft Third Set were originally served in Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories but were provisionally withdrawn to arrive at the reduced set. Defendants have therefore been aware of many of the likely topics for additional interrogatories since November 2009.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(a) states that, "[l]eave to serve additional interrogatories may be granted to the extent consistent with Rule 26(b)(2)." Rule 26(b)(2) lists factors that would justify limiting discovery, the absence of which would concomitantly justify relaxing the limit. See Flomo v. Bridgestone Americas Holding, Inc., No. 06-0627, 2009 WL 5103311, at *2 (S.D. Ind. Dec. 16, 2009) ("The Court should authorize additional interrogatories so long as they don't violate the general prohibitions on discovery found in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(2)." (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a)(1)). Addressing these factors, Plaintiffs submit:

- 1. The information sought is not "unreasonably cumulative or duplicative", nor is it "obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive." Plaintiffs are seeking information regarding the projects and sub-projects conducted, test subjects who participated, government officials and others who were involved, and whether notice was provided to test subjects. Many of the witnesses, including the test subjects themselves, are difficult to locate due to the intervening passage of time. Defendants are the only source of the full breadth of this information, and the information sought is uniquely in Defendants' control. While third-party discovery might produce some piecemeal and likely incomplete results, Plaintiffs have no other convenient, less burdensome or less expensive source from which to meaningfully obtain this discovery. In particular, we note the fact that we lack information as to whether many of the actors in the chemical and biological weapons programs are alive or dead or where they live, or whether they might have copies of responsive documents that were destroyed by the Defendants.
- 2. Plaintiffs have not previously had "ample opportunity by discovery" to obtain this information. While Plaintiffs have received some raw documentation, Defendants have objected to many of Plaintiffs' discovery requests, including a blanket refusal to answer even 25 interrogatories the subject of the pending Motion to Compel. Defendants have also extensively redacted the information that has been turned over.
- 3. The burden or expense of Defendants' answering these interrogatories does not "outweigh[] its likely benefit, taking into account the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the parties' resources, the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation, and the importance of the proposed discovery in resolving the issues." Plaintiffs' interrogatories will ask Defendants to describe, *inter alia*, hundreds of experimental projects and subprojects that took place at Edgewood Arsenal. This information is critical to the core issues

MORRISON | FOERSTER

Caroline Lewis-Wolverton, Esq. May 10, 2010 Page Three

in the case; its national importance clearly outweighs any burden involved in preparing answers to these interrogatories.

Furthermore, the limitation on the number of interrogatories is not intended to be fixed, but rather provides a routine trigger for the Court to ensure that a greater number is warranted given the circumstances of the case. "The goal of the limitation is 'not to prevent needed discovery, but to provide judicial scrutiny before parties make potentially excessive use of this type of discovery." *Beckett v. Mellon Investor Servs., LLC*, No. 06-5245, 2010 WL 1417622, at *2 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 5, 2010) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a) Advisory Comm. Note, 146 F.R.D. 401, 675 (1993)); *cf. Protective Optics*, 2007 WL 963972, at *2 ("reasonable parties should stipulate to appropriate additional interrogatories in a complex case such as this one, rather than engage in motion practice."). The volume and complexity of this case, as demonstrated by the number of parties, potential witnesses, and pertinent projects and sub-projects (MKULTRA alone had "149 discrete subprojects"), justifies an expansion beyond the default limit.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs ask that Defendants stipulate to a reasonable expansion of the default limit, allowing Plaintiffs to serve 60 additional interrogatories. Please confirm in no later than ten days that Defendants are willing so stipulate or, in the alternative, to meet and confer regarding this issue. If Defendants continue to refuse to meet and confer to discuss some reasonable expansion of the interrogatory limit, Plaintiffs intend to have this matter presented to the Court for resolution.

Very truly yours,

Gordon P. Erspamer

Enclosure

cc: Kimberly L. Herb, Esq. (by email)

¹ Defendant's Renewed Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment for Lack of Causation at 5, *Ritchie v. U.S.*, No. 00-3940 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2003).

Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document140-5 Filed09/15/10 Page4 of 17 1 GORDON P. ERSPAMER (CA SBN 83364) DRAFT GErspamer@mofo.com 2 TIMOTHY W. BLAKELY (CA SBN 242178) TBlakely@mofo.com 3 ADRIANO HRVATIN (CA SBN 220909) AHrvatin@mofo.com 4 STACEY M. SPRENKEL (CA SBN 241689) SSprenkel@mofo.com MÖRRISON & FOERSTER LLP 5 425 Market Street 6 San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Vietnam Veterans of America; Swords to Plowshares: 9 Veterans Rights Organization; Bruce Price; Franklin D. Rochelle; Larry Meirow; Eric P. Muth; David C. Dufrane; 10 and Wray C. Forrest 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 OAKLAND DIVISION 14 15 VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA, et al., Case No. CV 09-0037-CW 16 Plaintiffs. PLAINTIFFS' [DRAFT] THIRD SET OF 17 INTERROGATORIES TO ALL v. DEFENDANTS 18 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al., 19 Defendants. 20 21 PROPOUNDING PARTIES: Plaintiffs Vietnam Veterans of America; Swords to Plowshares: Veterans Rights Organization; Bruce Price; Franklin D. Rochelle; 22 Larry Meirow; Eric P. Muth; David C. Dufrane; and Wray C. Forrest 23 RESPONDING PARTIES: Defendants Central Intelligence Agency; Leon Panetta, Director of 24 the Central Intelligence Agency; United States Department of Defense; Dr. Robert M. Gates, Secretary of Defense; United States 25 Department of the Army; Pete Geren, United States Secretary of the Army; United States of America; and Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney 26 General of the United States 27 SET NUMBER: Three 28 PLS.' DRAFT THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES 1 Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-2836410

Pursuant to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Vietnam Veterans of America, Swords to Plowshares: Veterans Rights Organization, Bruce Price, Franklin D. Rochelle, Larry Meirow, Eric P. Muth, David C. Dufrane and Wray C. Forrest (collectively, "Plaintiffs") request that each of the named Defendants (collectively, "Defendants") separately answer the following interrogatories based upon information within their possession, custody or control, or the custody or control of their attorneys and/or accountants, their investigators and any persons acting on their behalf, by _______.

DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, the following definitions shall apply:

- 1. "COMMUNICATION" or "COMMUNICATIONS" means, unless otherwise specified, any of the following: (a) any written letter, memorandum, DOCUMENT or any other writing; (b) any telephone call between two or more PERSONS, whether or not such call was by chance or prearranged, formal or informal; and (c) any conversation or MEETING between two or more PERSONS, whether or not such contact was by chance or prearranged, formal or informal, including without limitation, conversations or MEETINGS occurring via telephone, teleconference, video conference, electronic mail (e-mail) or instant electronic messenger.
- 2. "CONCERNING" means constituting, summarizing, memorializing, referring to, regarding and/or relating to.
- 3. "DOCUMENT" or "DOCUMENTS" means any tangible thing upon which any expression, COMMUNICATION or representation has been recorded by any means, including but not limited to, handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostatting, photographing, magnetic impulse or mechanical or electronic recording and any non-identical copies (whether different from the original because of notes made on such copies, because of indications that said copies were sent to different individuals than were the originals or because of any other reason), including but not limited to, working papers, preliminary, intermediate or final drafts, correspondence, memoranda, charts, notes, records of any sort of MEETINGS, invoices, financial statements, financial calculations, diaries, reports of telephone or other oral conversations, desk calendars, appointment books, audio or video tape recordings, e-mail or electronic mail,

electronic folders, microfilm, microfiche, computer tape, computer disk, computer printout, computer card and all other writings and recordings of every kind that are in YOUR actual or constructive possession, custody or control.

- 4. "IDENTIFY" or "IDENTITY" means:
- a. with respect to a PERSON, to state the PERSON's full name, current or last known employer, that employer's address and telephone number, the PERSON's title and/or position with that employer, and the PERSON's current or last known home address and telephone number;
- b. with respect to a DOCUMENT, to state the type of DOCUMENT (i.e., letter, memorandum, telephone note, computer floppy or hard disk, magnetic tape, etc.), the title of the DOCUMENT (if any), the date it was created, the author, all intended recipients including the addressee and any and all copyees, a brief description of the subject matter of the DOCUMENT, the present and/or last known location of the DOCUMENT, and to IDENTIFY all present or last known person in possession, custody or control of the DOCUMENT;
- c. with respect to a COMMUNICATION, to state the name and affiliation of all PERSONS participating in, or present for, the COMMUNICATION, the date of the COMMUNICATION, and whether it was conducted in person or by other means (such as telephone, correspondence, e-mail), and whether it was recorded (e.g., stenographically or by audio or videotape);
- d. with respect to a MEETING, to state the names and affiliations of all PERSONS participating in, or present for, the MEETING, the date of the MEETING, and the location of the MEETING and the purpose of the MEETING.
- 5. "MEETING" or "MEETINGS" means any coincidence of, or presence of, or telephone, television, radio or other electronic communication between or among persons, whether such was by chance or prearranged, informal or formal.
- 6. "PERSON" or "PERSONS" means, unless otherwise specified, any natural person, firm, entity, corporation, partnership, proprietorship, association, joint venture, other form of organization or arrangement and government and government agency of every nature and type.

7. "YOU" or "YOUR" means the Defendants in this action, and all of their past and present offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees and service members. These terms also include any representatives or agents acting on YOUR behalf, including without limitation, attorneys, investigators or consultants.

SPECIAL DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, the following special definitions shall apply:

- 1. "CIA" means the Central Intelligence Agency of the United States, and all its past and present offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees and service members.
- 2. "DAIG" means the Department of the Army Inspector General, and all its past and present offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.
- 3. "DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE" or "DoD" means the United States Department of Defense, and all its past and present offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees and service members.
- 4. "DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY" or "DoA" means the United States

 Department of the Army, and all its past and present offices, departments, organizations,

 administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees
 and service members.
- 5. "EDGEWOOD ARSENAL" means the southern sector of the military installation located northeast of Baltimore, Maryland, in the Northern Chesapeake Bay along a neck of land between the Gunpowder and Bush rivers.
- 6. "GAO" means the United States Government Accountability Office and all its predecessors, offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.

- 7. "IOM" means the Institute of Medicine, a branch of the National Academies, and all its predecessors, offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.
- 8. "NRC" means the National Research Council, a branch of the National Academies, and all its predecessors, offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.
- 9. "NAS" means the National Academy of Sciences, a branch of the National Academies, and all its predecessors, offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.
- 10. "VA" or "DVA" means the United States Department of Veterans Affairs, and all its predecessors (including the Veterans Administration) and its past and present offices, departments, organizations, administrations, boards, consultants, commissions, task forces, management, and past and present employees.
- 11. "TEST PROGRAMS" means each of the projects identified in the Second Amended Complaint, including without limitation, the Human Test Series identified in Paragraph 147 of the Second Amended Complaint, including Projects "BLUEBIRD," "ARTICHOKE," "MKDELTA," "MKULTRA," "MKNAOMI," "MKSEARCH," "MKCHICKWIT," "MKOFTEN," and any other program of experimentation involving human testing of any substance, including but not limited to, "MATERIAL TESTING PROGRAM EA 1729." TEST PROGRAMS shall include any and all sub-projects related to any program of human testing conducted by YOU.
 - a. "BLUEBIRD" means, including without limitation, the official code name given in or around 1950 to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING special interrogation methods, including the use of drugs, hypnosis and isolation upon human TEST SUBJECTS.
 - b. "ARTICHOKE" means, including without limitation, the official code name given in or around 1951 to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU
 CONCERNING the study of special interrogation techniques and the use of

- chemicals, among other methods, to produce amnesia and other vulnerable states in human TEST SUBJECTS.
- c. "MKDELTA" means, including without limitation, the official code name given in or around 1952 to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the use of biochemicals in clandestine military operations.
- d. "MKULTRA" means, including without limitation, the official code name given in or around 1953 to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the surreptitious use of many types of drugs, as well as other methods, to manipulate individual mental states and to alter brain function, and that continued at least through the late 1960s.
- e. "MKNAOMI" means, including without limitation, the official code name given to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the stockpiling of severely incapacitating and lethal materials and the development of gadgetry for the dissemination of these materials.
- f. "MKSEARCH" means, including without limitation, the official code name given in or around 1964 to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the development of methods to manipulate human behavior through the use of drugs and other chemical substances.
- g. "MKCHICKWIT" or "CHICKWIT" means, including without limitation, the official code name given to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the identification of new drugs in Europe and Asia and collection of information and samples CONCERNING same.
- h. "MKOFTEN" means, including without limitation, the official code name given to the secret test program conducted by one or more of YOU CONCERNING the behavioral and toxicological effects of certain drugs on animals and humans.
- i. "MATERIAL TESTING PROGRAM EA 1729" means, including without limitation, the official code name given to the secret test program by one or more

of YOU CONCERNING the testing of lysergic acid diethylamide ("LSD") as an intelligence-gathering technique.

12. "TEST SUBJECT" or "TEST SUBJECTS" means, unless otherwise specified, any person who, while an active duty member of the U.S. military or a member of the reserves of any branch of the U.S. Military, participated in any experiment that was part of, or related to, the TEST PROGRAMS. For purposes of this definition, TEST SUBJECTS shall be deemed to have participated in an experiment even if the TEST SUBJECT received only a placebo or if the TEST SUBJECT declined to participate or withdrew "consent" after being initially selected for participation. "TEST SUBJECTS" shall not, unless otherwise specified, include civilians who participated in the TEST PROGRAMS.

CONSTRUCTION

The following rules of construction shall also apply:

- 1. "All" or "each" shall be construed as "all and each."
- 2. "Any" should be understood to include and encompass "all;" "all" should be understood to include and encompass "any."
- 3. "And" or "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the discovery request all responses that might otherwise be construed to be outside of its scope.
 - 4. The use of the singular form of any word shall include the plural and vice versa.

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions shall apply:

- 1. If YOU contend that any of the following interrogatories is objectionable in whole or in part, YOU shall state with particularity each objection, the basis for it and the categories of information and documents to which the objection applies, and YOU shall respond to the interrogatory insofar as it is not deemed objectionable.
- 2. If YOU find the meaning of any term in these interrogatories unclear, YOU shall assume a reasonable meaning, state what the assumed meaning is, and respond to the interrogatory according to the assumed meaning.

PLS.' DRAFT THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-2836410

Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document140-5 Filed09/15/10 Page11 of 17

3.	The following interrogatories shall be deemed to be continuing. In accordance
with Federal	Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs request that if, after answering the
interrogatorie	s, YOU acquire additional knowledge or information responsive to the
interrogatorie	s, that YOU shall produce such documents or provide Plaintiffs with such additional
knowledge or information.	
4.	Unless otherwise specified, each interrogatory calls for all documents created,
received, or dated between January 1, 1941 and the date of YOUR response to the interrogatory.	

PLS.' DRAFT THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES Case No. CV 09-0037-CW

INTERROGATORIES 1 2 **PREAMBLE TO ALL INTERROGATORIES:** Please provide separate answers for 3 each Defendant to the following Interrogatories: 4 **INTERROGATORY NO. 50:** 5 Please IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS CONCERNING any and all health effects reported, 6 claimed, or that occurred for each TEST SUBJECT. 7 **INTERROGATORY NO. 51:** 8 For each TEST SUBJECT, IDENTIFY whether you believe the TEST SUBJECT to be 9 deceased, listing the date and cause of death for each. 10 **INTERROGATORY NO. 52:** 11 Please IDENTIFY all participants in the TEST PROGRAMS who you believe are 12 receiving disability compensation or health care from the DVA, and indicate whether or not that 13 health care or disability compensation is for a disease or condition associated with their 14 participation in the TEST PROGRAMS. INTERROGATORY NO. 53: 15 16 Please IDENTIFY all testing personnel who suspended or abandoned the conduct of an 17 experiment in the TEST PROGRAMS based upon a concern for the health of the TEST 18 SUBJECT and describe the basis for each suspension or abandonment decision. 19 **INTERROGATORY NO. 54:** 20 Please IDENTIFY each experiment in the TEST PROGRAMS which involved a human 21 subject which was not preceded by animal experimentation, and explain why prior animal 22. experimentation was not done. 23 **INTERROGATORY NO. 55:** 24 For each participant in the TEST PROGRAMS, (a) please list his/her last known address 25 and telephone numbers; (b) please IDENTIFY each attempt to contact him/her and the METHOD 26 utilized (for example, by letter, e-mail, phone, or private investigator); (c) please indicate whether 27 or not the attempt was successful, giving the date of each successful attempt; and (d) please

PLS.' DRAFT THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-2836410

IDENTIFY each participant whom YOU have made no attempt to contact.

INTERROGATORY NO. 56:

Please indicate the annual funding provided by the CIA for each of the TEST PROGRAMS between 1943 and the present.

INTERROGATORY NO. 57:

For each experiment in the TEST PROGRAMS, please IDENTIFY each PERSON who approved each experiment, including whether or not the Surgeon General gave his approval, and IDENTIFY all approval DOCUMENTS.

INTERROGATORY NO. 58:

With respect to the projects and sub-projects involved in MKULTRA, and for each project or sub-project in the TEST PROGRAMS, please IDENTIFY YOUR involvement in each, including, without limitation, involvement in the organization, planning, design, operation, analyses or receipt of results, financing, or any other type of involvement.

INTERROGATORY NO. 59:

Please IDENTIFY all PERSONS who contributed in any fashion or way to the design, planning, operation, or results of the TEST PROGRAMS who formerly was a citizen of or lived in Germany or Japan, including, without limitation, all individuals processed through "Operation Paperclip," as described in Paragraph 8 of the Second Amended Complaint, and describe each such contribution.

INTERROGATORY NO. 60:

Please IDENTIFY all PERSONS who worked as consultants or in any other capacity not involving employment CONCERNING the TEST PROGRAMS and/or any sub-projects.

INTERROGATORY NO. 61:

For each experiment in the TEST PROGRAMS, including sub-projects, please IDENTIFY all attesting witnesses to each and every consent document signed by a human subject.

INTERROGATORY NO. 62:

Please IDENTIFY all military personnel who directed or participated in any mind control experiments or studies, indicating their last known address and phone number.

INTERROGATORY NO. 63:

Please IDENTIFY all experiments with TEST SUBJECTS which involved the insertion of any type of implant or foreign body or device ("Implant"), including, without limitation, electrodes, into the brain of the TEST SUBJECT, including the name of each TEST SUBJECT, the type and description of each Implant, the project name(s), location(s), result(s), and the identity of medical or scientific personnel performing or observing each such experiment.

INTERROGATORY NO. 64:

Please IDENTIFY all locations other than Edgewood Arsenal or Fort Detrick where experiments CONCERNING the TEST PROGRAMS were conducted, IDENTIFYING the nature of the experiments, the sites, the dates they were conducted, whether or not testing was done on humans, and the identity of such human test subjects, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS relating to the approval for each test, any signed consents, and advance disclosures to test participants.

INTERROGATORY NO. 65:

Please IDENTIFY all TEST SUBJECTS who were used to test biological substances, including, without limitation, anthrax, plague, botulism, typhus, cholera, tularemia, encephalitis, yellow fever, parrot fever, tetanus, streptococcus, or smallpox, at Edgewood Arsenal, Fort Detrick, or offsite from those locations.

INTERROGATORY NO. 66:

For each TEST PROGRAM and any sub-projects that involved biological substances, including, without limitation, the biological substances listed in Interrogatory No. 65, please IDENTIFY all PERSONS who directed, approved, designed, carried out, or witnessed experiments involving TEST SUBJECTS at Edgewood Arsenal, Fort Detrick, or offsite from those locations.

INTERROGATORY NO. 67:

For each project and sub-project in the TEST PROGRAMS that involved biological substances, please indicate whether or not YOU were involved in any way, and, if so, describe that involvement in complete detail, and IDENTIFY all COMMUNICATIONS and DOCUMENTS relating to that involvement.

INTERROGATORY NO. 68:

Please IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the TEST PROGRAMS which YOU have withheld from public release or redacted and/or withheld from production to Plaintiffs in this action based upon any of the following and state the specific basis for withholding or redacting each DOCUMENT: a security classification or secrecy rationale, fear of adverse public reactions, an absolute or qualified privilege, any executive order, or any exemption from production contained in the Freedom of Information Act or any other statute or regulation.

INTERROGATORY NO. 69:

Please IDENTIFY all repositories, records, or files which contain DOCUMENTS responsive to Plaintiffs' Request(s) for Production of Documents, indicating for each whether or not the records were actually searched and whether responsive documents were found.

INTERROGATORY NO. 70:

Please IDENTIFY with as much detail as possible the types, contents, and subject matter of all DOCUMENTS CONCERNING the TEST PROGRAMS that were destroyed by YOU, including, without limitation, the occasions described in the Second Amended Complaint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 71:

Please describe the nature of the project(s) or program(s) redacted from the 1963 IG Report as described in Paragraph 134 of the Second Amended Complaint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 72:

Please IDENTIFY each "separate file" for each sub-project kept or maintained in connection with Paragraph (2)(a) of the April 21, 1953 "Memorandum for the File" regarding Project MKULTRA, which is part of Exhibit B to the Second Amended Complaint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 73:

Please IDENTIFY each "Memorandum for the File" prepared by the Division Chief for each program described in Paragraph 2(b) of the April 21, 1953 "Memorandum for the File" regarding Project MKULTRA, which is part of Exhibit B to the Second Amended Complaint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 74:

Please IDENTIFY all other DOCUMENTS prepared in connection with the April 21, 1953 "Memorandum for the File" regarding Project MKULTRA, which is part of Exhibit B to the Second Amended Complaint, including, without limitation, memoranda addressed by the Division Chief to the Comptroller, reports, correspondence with contract, invoices, approvals by the Division Chief and Research Director, and memoranda to the Chief, Finance Division.

INTERROGATORY NO. 75:

Please IDENTIFY all experiments and programs that YOU were involved in (in any capacity) which CONCERNED experiments or tests conducted by the DVA and which used veterans as subjects.

INTERROGATORY NO. 76:

Please IDENTIFY all participants in the TEST PROGRAMS about whom YOU have had COMMUNICATIONS with the DVA.

INTERROGATORY NO. 77:

To the extent YOU contend that any substance administered to a TEST SUBJECT as part of the TEST PROGRAMS was for purposes of treatment of a medical disease or condition or contributed to the health of TEST SUBJECT, please identify each such substance and explain why it was intended for treatment purposes or to improve the health of the TEST SUBJECT, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR contention.

INTERROGATORY NO. 78:

To the extent YOU contend that any substance administered to any TEST SUBJECT as part of the TEST PROGRAMS was innocuous or had no short or long-term health effects upon the TEST SUBJECT, please identify each such substance and explain why it was innocuous or

Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document140-5 Filed09/15/10 Page17 of 17 had no short or long-term health effects upon the TEST SUBJECT, and IDENTIFY all DOCUMENTS that support your contention. Dated: GORDON P. ERSPAMER TIMOTHY W. BLAKELY ADRIANO HRVATIN STACEY M. SPRENKEL MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP By: Gordon P. Erspamer [GErspamer@mofo.com] Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PLS.' DRAFT THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES Case No. CV 09-0037-CW sf-2836410