## 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GORDON P. ERSPAMER (CA SBN 83364) GErspamer@mofo.com TIMOTHY W. BLAKELY (CA SBN 242178) TBlakely@mofo.com STACEY M. SPRENKEL (CA SBN 241689) SSprenkel@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522  Attorneys for Plaintiffs Vietnam Veterans of America; Swords to Plowshares: Veterans Rights Organization; Bruce Price; Franklin D. Rochelle; Larry Meirow; Eric P. Muth; David C. Dufrane; Tim Michael Josephs; and William Blazinski	
11		
12	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA
13		
14	VIETNAM VETERANS OF AMERICA, et al.,	Case No. CV 09-0037-CW
15		
10	Dlaintiffe	DECLADATION OF REN
16	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF BEN PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF
	v.	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE
16 17	·	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND
16 17 18	v.	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
16 17 18 19	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND
16 17 18 19 20	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
16 17 18 19	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
16 17 18 19 20	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
16 17 18 19 20 21	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
116 117 118 119 120 221 222 223 224 225	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226	v.  CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,	PATTERSON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITIONS AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

sf-3044883

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am an associate with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel of record for Vietnam Veterans of America, Swords to Plowshares: Veterans Rights Organization, Bruce Price, Franklin D. Rochelle, Larry Meirow, Eric P. Muth, David C. Dufrane, Tim Michael Josephs, and William Blazinski ("Plaintiffs") in this action. I submit this Declaration in Support of Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Rule 30(b)(6) Depositions and Production of Documents. I make this Declaration based on personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I would testify to the facts set forth below.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the September 1, 2011 hearing before Judge Wilken regarding the CIA's motion for judgment on the pleadings and motion to amend the scheduling order.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a September 9, 2011 letter from Gordon Erspamer, counsel for Plaintiffs, to Joshua Gardner, counsel for Defendants.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of a September 13, 2011 letter from Joshua Gardner, counsel for Defendants, to Gordon Erspamer, counsel for Plaintiffs.
- 5. On September 1, 2011, Plaintiffs received a production from Defendants with Bates labels VET108\_000001-000011 and VET109\_000001-000438 in which Defendants produced a contract between Battelle Memorial Institute ("Battelle") and the Department of Defense as well as some modifications and amendments to that contract.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the transcript of the July 7, 2011 deposition of Martha Hamed.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Defendant Department of Veterans Affairs' Response to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Admission to Defendant Department of Veterans Affairs, served on July 15, 2011.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Defendants Department of Defense and Department of the Army's Objections and Responses to Plaintiffs' Amended Second Set of Interrogatories, served on August 15, 2011.

PATTERSON DECL. IN SUPP. OF PLS.' REPLY IN SUPP. OF MOT. TO COMPEL Case No. CV 09-0037-CW

1	9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the	
2	transcript of the July 6-8, 2011 deposition of Dr. Michael Kilpatrick.	
3	10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Defendants' Opposition	
4	to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Production of Documents, filed as Docket No. 10 on	
5	May 13, 2011, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, case number	
6	2:11-mc-00016-MHW-EPD.	
7	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of Battelle's Motion to	
8	Quash the subpoena duces tecum, filed as Docket No. 12 on May 17, 2011, in the United States	
9	District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, case number 2:11-mc-00016-MHW-EPD.	
10	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of Battelle's Motion to	
11	Quash Deposition Subpoenas, or in the Alternative, to Modify the Subpoenas and Grant a	
12	Protective Order, filed as Docket No. 20 on June 14, 2011, in the United States District Court for	
13	the Southern District of Ohio, case number 2:11-mc-00016-MHW-EPD.	
14	13. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Notification	
15	of Impasse in Negotiations Regarding Stipulation and accompanying exhibits, filed as Docket	
16	Nos. 28, 28-1, and 28-2 on August 12, 2011, in the United States District Court for the Southern	
17	District of Ohio, case number 2:11-mc-00016-MHW-EPD.	
18		
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
20	foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed in San Francisco, California	
21	on this 15th day of September, 2011.	
22		
23	/s/ Ben Patterson Ben Patterson	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## Case4:09-cv-00037-CW Document290 Filed09/15/11 Page4 of 4

1	Attestation Pursuant to General Order 45, section X.B
2	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a
3	"conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document.
4	
5	/s/ GORDON ERSPAMER
6	Gordon Erspamer
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	